



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/000,256	11/01/2001	Yongming Sun	DEX-0259	9044

26259 7590 10/01/2003
LICATLA & TYRRELL P.C.
66 E. MAIN STREET
MARLTON, NJ 08053

EXAMINER

STRZELECKA, TERESA E

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

1637

10

DATE MAILED: 10/01/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/000,256

Applicant(s)

SUN ET AL.

Examiner

Teresa E Strzelecka

Art Unit

1637

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on ____.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) ____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☒ Claim(s) 1-17 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on ____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
- If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
- a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) ____.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). ____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

1. Prior to setting forth the Restriction Requirement, it is pointed out each Group detailed below reads on patentably distinct Groups drawn to multiple SEQ ID Numbers. The sequences are patentably distinct because they are unrelated sequences, and a further restriction is applied to each Group. For an elected Group drawn to amino acid or nucleic acid sequences, the Applicants must further elect a single amino acid or a single nucleic acid sequence (See MPEP 803.04).

Election/Restrictions

2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
- I. Claims 1-5, 7, 8 15 (in part) and 17 (in part), drawn to an isolated nucleic acid molecule, a vector comprising the nucleic acid, a host cell comprising the vector and a vaccine comprising the nucleic acid, classified in class 536, subclass 23.1, for example.

If Group I is elected, claims 15 and 17 will be examined to a degree that they read on a nucleic acid.
 - II. Claim 6, drawn to a method for determining the presence of prostate specific nucleic acid (PSNA) in a sample by contacting the sample with a nucleic acid molecule according to claim 1 and detecting hybridization of the nucleic acid molecule to PSNA, classified in class 435, subclass 6, for example.
 - III. Claim 9, drawn to a method for producing a polypeptide encoded by nucleic acid molecule of claim 1, classified in class 435, subclass 69.1, for example.
 - IV. Claims 10, 11 and 17 (in part), drawn to an isolated polypeptide and a vaccine comprising the polypeptide, classified in class 530, subclass 300, for example.

If Group IV is elected, claim 17 will be examined to a degree that it reads on a polypeptide.

- V. Claims 12 and 15 (in part), drawn to an antibody which specifically binds to a polypeptide, classified in class 530, subclass 387.1, for example.
- VI. Claim 13, drawn to a method for determining the presence of a prostate specific protein in a sample by contacting the sample with an antibody which selectively binds to the protein, classified in class 435, subclass 7.1, for example.
- VII. Claim 14 (in part), drawn to a method for diagnosing and monitoring the presence or metastases of prostate cancer in a patient by determining an amount of nucleic acid molecule of claim 1 in a sample and comparing the amount to a control, classified in class 435, subclass 91.2, for example.

If Group VII is elected, claim 14 will be examined to a degree that it reads on a nucleic acid.

- VIII. Claim 14 (in part), drawn to a method for diagnosing and monitoring the presence or metastases of prostate cancer in a patient by determining an amount of polypeptide of claim 11 in a sample and comparing the amount to a control, classified in class 435, subclass 7.1, for example.

If Group VIII is elected, claim 14 will be examined to a degree that it reads on a polypeptide.

- IX. Claim 16, drawn to a method of treating a patient with a prostate cancer by administering an antibody of claim 12, classified in class 424, subclass 130.1, for example.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

3. Inventions I and (II, III, VII) are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the polynucleotide of Group I could be used for an entirely different purpose such as in making an array, rather than in the methods of Groups II, III and VII.

4. Inventions I and IV are separate and distinct because the inventions are directed to different chemical types regarding the critical limitations therein. For Group IV, the critical feature is a polypeptide whereas for Group I the critical feature is a polynucleotide. It is acknowledged that various processing steps may cause a polypeptide of Group IV to be directed as to its synthesis by a polynucleotide of Group I, however, the completely separate chemical types of the inventions of Groups I and IV supports the undue search burden if both were examined together. Additionally, polypeptides have been most commonly, albeit not always, separately characterized and published in the Biochemical literature, thus significantly adding to the search burden if examiner together, as compared to being searched separately. Also, it is pointed out that processing that may connect two groups does not prevent them from being viewed as distinct, because enough processing can result in producing any composition from any other composition if the processing is not so limited to additions, subtractions, enzyme actions, etc.

5. Inventions I and V are separate and distinct, as the claims of Invention I are drawn to polynucleotides, while the claim of Group V are drawn to an antibody. These are differing biochemical entities having differing biochemical properties, structures and effects. Invention V would require searching in areas unrelated to polynucleotides, and as such, would require an undue burden on the examiner if not restricted.

6. Inventions I and (VI, VIII, IX) are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together, or they have different modes of operation, or they have different functions, or they have different effects. (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are not required one for the other in that the polynucleotide of Group I is not required for the methods of Groups VI, VIII, IX.

7. Inventions III and IV are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the polypeptide of Group IV can be produced synthetically, rather than by the method of Group III.

8. Inventions IV and (II, VI, VII, IX) are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together, or they have different modes of operation, or they have different functions, or they have different effects. (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are not required one for the other in that the polypeptide of Group IV is not required for the methods of Groups II, VI, VII, IX.

9. Inventions IV and VIII are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the polypeptide of Group IV could be used for an entirely different purpose such as in making the antibodies of Group V, rather than in the method of Group VIII.

10. Inventions V and (VI, IX) are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the

Art Unit: 1637

product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the antibody of Group V could be used for an entirely different purpose such as in detecting the antigen-presenting cells, rather than in the methods of Groups VI and IX.

11. Inventions V and (II, III, VII, VIII) are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together, or they have different modes of operation, or they have different functions, or they have different effects. (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are not required one for the other in that the antibody of Group V is not required for the methods of Groups II, III, VII, VIII.

12. Inventions II, III, VII, VIII and IX are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together, or they have different modes of operation, or they have different functions, or they have different effects. (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are directed to methods which have different method steps, starting materials and goals.

13. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

14. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

15. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any

Art Unit: 1637

amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Teresa E Strzelecka whose telephone number is (703) 306-5877. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:30-5:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Benzion can be reached on (703) 308-1119. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

TS
September 29, 2003

TS


JEFFREY FREDMAN
PRIMARY EXAMINER